



## REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

### IDENTIFIED WORKS FOR PARKING IN TANDRIDGE (REVIEW 2)

2 October 2009

#### KEY ISSUE

To determine the new parking restrictions to be progressed and implemented in Tandridge district in the 09/10 review.

#### SUMMARY

Alterations to the existing on-street parking restrictions are planned in various locations throughout the District of Tandridge. To determine which sites were to be prioritised and placed within this years review divisional meetings were held with County, District and Parish councillors.

This report summarises the responses from those meetings and produces a list of deliverable alterations/introductions of new parking restrictions within the District to improve safety and traffic flow as well as addressing local needs and requirements.

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) is asked to resolve:**

- (i) that the proposed alterations/introduction of restrictions detailed in this report be agreed and the relevant alterations to the planned restrictions be progressed.
- (ii) that the parking schemes detailed in this report for further assessment, and/or informal public consultation, be agreed and the process be undertaken, with the results and recommendations from those consultations being reported back to a subsequent committee for further approvals.

## **1 BACKGROUND**

- 1.1 It is recognised that in order to address various parking issues within the district an annual program of alterations and new restrictions is required to improve traffic flow and road safety as well as improve the viability and effectiveness of the area.
- 1.2 As there are many areas which require changes to parking controls it was decided to hold individual divisional meetings with Local District and Parish councillors as well as County members to try and determine which schemes were of high priority and what possible solutions could be offered.
- 1.3 The meetings proved very useful in identifying problem areas and possible solutions as well as highlighting some locations that required site visits before proposed works could be detailed.
- 1.4 Section 2 details the works identified for each Division forming the whole proposed works program for Review 2 Tandridge for 09/10.

## **2 Identified locations for further assessment within each Division**

### **2.1 Caterham on the Hill**

- a) Stanstead Road (Introduction of single yellow line restrictions near Oakhyrst school to improve safety and traffic flows)
- b) Avenue Road (Introduce double yellow line waiting restrictions in turning head at the end of the road)
- c) Guards Avenue, Coldstream Road East (Formalise existing restrictions and introduce corner and junction protection to improve traffic flows and safety on all sites that have been formally adopted as Highway land).
- d) Burntwood Lane (Introduce a 'School keep clear' restriction outside of De Stafford School)

### **2.2 Oxted**

- a) Station Road East (Introduce bus stop clearway in layby outside Sainsbury's / work closely with Sainsbury's to ensure deliveries for the store fall outside clearway operating times)
- b) Amy Road, Beatrice Road & Ellice Road (Informal consultation to determine requirement and support for possible permit scheme)
- c) Uvedale Road / Westerham Road (Introduce junction protection)

- d) Greenhurst Lane (Review operating times of existing restrictions to make them more resident friendly as well as possible junction protection markings in Green Hurst Way).
- e) Hurst Green Road (Introduce double yellow line restrictions opposite Mill Lane junction in vicinity of roundabout to aid traffic flows and safety).
- f) Amy Road (Remove the existing unlimited parking bay from the Traffic Regulation Order and remove the associated markings outside of number 17).
- g) Station Road East (Exchange the disabled parking bay with the 2 hour limited waiting parking bay in the area surrounding the Council Offices so that the disabled bay is closer to the dropped kerb).

### 2.3 Caterham Valley

- a) Stafford Road (Introduce double yellow line restrictions as junction protection on all roads leading off of Stafford Road. Introduce waiting restriction outside the library to replace hatching).
- b) Commonwealth Road, Farningham Road (Introduce double yellow line waiting restrictions in selective locations to aid traffic flows and safety).
- c) Woldingham Road. Introduce restrictions to maintain access and keep junctions clear.
- d) Harestone Valley Road (Remove or relocate the existing taxi overflow parking and conduct further assessment work to consider other options to alleviate congestion - this action is subject to consultation with the district council licensing department whom are responsible for taxi management)
- e) Hillbury Road (Investigate the feasibility of introducing waiting restrictions to deter commuter parking and to increase the safety for pedestrians between Tithepit Shaw Lane and railway bridge).

### 2.4 Warlingham

- a) Introduce 'at any time' restrictions around junctions in Glebe Road and Elm Road to prevent obstructive parking and help access for refuse vehicles etc
- b) Farleigh Road (Warlingham Primary school, relocate existing 'School keep clear' to close gap and propose single yellow line

restrictions opposite to aid safety and traffic flows near school at start and end of the School day).

- c) Verdayne Gardens (Introduce junction protection with the main road as well as around the entrance turn in to avoid verge over running due to parked vehicles)
- d) Raynsfield Road, (Introduction and extension of double yellow line restrictions to help traffic flows and safety following an introduction of new road layout scheme)

## 2.5 Godstone

- a) Coneybury (Introduce double yellow lines around roundabout including gates and pinch points to avoid traffic congestion and increase pedestrian safety at crossing points)
- b) Godstone High Street (Install a disabled parking place)
- c) Mid Street, South Nutfield (Introduce double yellow lines to prevent parking on the bend adjacent to number 2).

## 2.6 Lingfield

- a) High Street, Godstone Road & East Grinstead Road. (Begin Informal consultation with all stakeholders and properties regarding the introduction of limited waiting in all parking bays/ possibly 3 hours limited waiting)
- a) Gunpit lane (Extend double yellow lines from Parish Council car park into road by a further 6m)
- b) Wilderwick Road / Station Road (Introduce double yellow line junction protection for 20m in each direction)

## 3 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 The cost of introducing these schemes will be dependent on the final size and scale of the proposed works that in some of the locations is subject to public consultation.
- 3.2 The design and implementation costs of introducing these proposed restriction changes and introductions will vary for each site ranging from around £200 up to £5000 giving an approximate implementation cost of £35,000. This cost is for design and implementation of all the minor schemes and includes consultation costs only for the other schemes.

- 3.3 The cost of any schemes derived from further consultation processes will be reported back in line with section 6.4 of this report.
- 3.4 There will also be an approximate advertising cost to cover all of the drafting of the new and altered traffic regulation orders as well as associated advertising costs totalling approximately £6000.
- 3.5 Whilst these costs will have to met from the revenue budget there is the possibility that if the permit schemes in Oxted and Lingfield are introduced (following informal consultation) then the revenue generated from these schemes and any associated penalty charge notices will be fed back to the County Councils parking account.

#### **4 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1 There are no specific equalities or diversity implications for this report.

#### **5 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 Failure to comply with newly introduced or amended waiting restrictions can result in the issue of a Penalty Charge Notice.

#### **6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 6.1 The sites and schemes recommended above are all designed to not only improve road safety and traffic flows but to also meet the needs and requirements of the local residents and stakeholders.
- 6.2 As the sites have been derived from Divisional meetings that included both the County, District and parish members it is felt that they form a good reflection of localised issues and will therefore tackle problems and safety issues that have caused concern on a local level for some time.
- 6.3 The recommendation to further develop these schemes along the statutory process route and introduce the restrictions as described.
- 6.4 In the case of the sites requiring further public informal consultation an outline design will be produced and the informal public consultation undertaken and the results reported back to committee at a further date to determine the following course of action.

## **7 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 7.1 To determine and approve the sites agreed in the Divisional meetings so that the schemes can be further developed and implemented where suitable.
- 7.2 That the sites requiring informal public consultations have outline designs produced and the informal consultation carried out in line with County Council consultation processes and the results reported back to committee with recommendations for further progress.

## **8 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT**

- 8.1 Subject to the decision of the Local committee (Tandridge) the schemes detailed above in section 2 of this report will be developed and introduced as detailed in line with the required statutory processes and funds being allocated by the local highway manager.

**LEAD/CONTACT OFFICER:** John Pateman, Engineer – Parking Strategy & Implementation Group  
**TELEPHONE:** 03456 009 009  
**E-MAIL:** [parking@surreycc.gov.uk](mailto:parking@surreycc.gov.uk)